arXiv:1702.01949v1 [math.RA] 7 Feb 2017

AN OPERAD IS NEVER FREE AS A PRE-LIE ALGEBRA

EMILY BURGUNDER, BÉRÉNICE DELCROIX–OGER, DOMINIQUE MANCHON

Abstract. An operad is naturally endowed with a pre-Lie structure. We prove that as a pre-Lie algebra an operad is not free. The proof holds on defining a non-vanishing linear operation in the pre-Lie algebra which is zero in any operad.

Une opérade admet une structure d'algèbre pré-Lie naturelle. Nous montrons qu'une opérade n'est jamais libre en tant qu'algèbre pré-Lie. La preuve repose sur une relation pré-Lie non nulle qui s'annule dans le cadre opéradique.

1. Version abrégée en français

Soit $(V, \triangleleft)$ une algèbre pré-Lie, c'est-à-dire un espace vectoriel muni d'une opération $\triangleleft : V \otimes V \to V$ vérifiant la relation

$$(x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z - x \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z) = (x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft y - x \triangleleft (z \triangleleft y)$$

pour tout $x, y, z \in V$. Définissons par récurrence les *éléments d'insertion* suivants :

$$\begin{aligned} t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2) :=& (t \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2 - t \triangleleft (s_1 \triangleleft s_2) \ , \\ t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, s_3) :=& \big(t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2)\big) \triangleleft s_3 - t \triangleleft (s_1 \triangleleft s_3, s_2) - t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2 \triangleleft s_3) \ , \\ t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) :=& \big(t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})\big) \triangleleft s_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t \triangleleft (s_1, \dots, s_i \triangleleft s_n, \dots, s_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

avec $t, s_1, \dots, s_n \in V$. L'élément $t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ est invariant par permutation des $s_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, et non nul si V est l'algèbre pré-Lie libre. En effet, en termes d'arbres enracinés cf. [CL01], cet élément se comprend comme le branchement de $s_1, \dots, s_n$ sur t sans branchement de s_i sur s_j pour $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Une opérade $\mathcal{P}$, plus précisément $\mathcal{P} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}(n)$, est naturellement munie d'une structure d'algèbre pré-Lie définie en utilisant les compositions partielles $\circ_i : \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes \mathcal{P}(m) \to \mathcal{P}(n+m-1) : \mu \otimes \nu \mapsto \mu \circ_i \nu := \mu(\mathrm{id}, \cdots, \mathrm{id}, \underbrace{\nu}_{i^{\text{ème}} \text{ entrée}}, \mathrm{id}, \cdots \mathrm{id})$ comme suit : $\forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(n), \nu \in \mathcal{P}(m)$, $\mu \triangleleft \nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu \circ_i \nu$ où la somme est étendue linéairement.

Key words and phrases. operads, pre-Lie, trees, forest.

Our joint work was partially supported by ANR CARMA while in the Plantiers.



FIGURE 1.

1.1. **Théorème.** *Une opérade non triviale n'est pas libre en tant qu'algèbre pré-Lie.*

En effet, dans une opérade, les éléments d'insertion $\mu \triangleleft (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n+k})$ sont nuls dès que l'on considère une opération μ d'arité n, avec $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

2. Pre-Lie insertion laws in operads

2.1. **Pre-Lie insertion laws.** A pre-Lie algebra is a vector space V together with a bilinear map $\triangleleft : V \otimes V \to V$ verifying the following relation:

$$\forall x, y, z \in V,\ (x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z - x \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z) = (x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft y - x \triangleleft (z \triangleleft y).$$

Let $t, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in V$. Define recursively the following *insertion elements*:

$$\begin{aligned} t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2) :=& (t \triangleleft s_1) \triangleleft s_2 - t \triangleleft (s_1 \triangleleft s_2)\ , \\ t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, s_3) :=& \big(t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2)\big) \triangleleft s_3 - t \triangleleft (s_1 \triangleleft s_3, s_2) - t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2 \triangleleft s_3)\ , \\ t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) :=& \big(t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})\big) \triangleleft s_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t \triangleleft (s_1, \dots, s_i \triangleleft s_n, \dots, s_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of a pre-Lie algebra, these insertion elements are invariant under permutation of the s_i's. They can be understood combinatorially in the free pre-Lie algebra when viewed as the vector space spanned by rooted trees with its grafting operation defined in Chapoton-Livernet [CL01], as follows: $t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ is obtained by grafting the trees s_i on t such that no s_i is grafted on an s_j. Therefore $t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ is nonzero. For example if $t = s_1 = \ldots = s_n$ is the pre-Lie generating element, i.e., in terms of rooted trees, a single root, then the n^{th} pre-Lie insertion element is the n-leaved corolla: see figure 1 for the grafting of 3 roots on a root.

2.2. **Insertion pre-Lie law on operations of an operad.** An operad $\mathcal{P}$ is a collection of $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules $\mathcal{P}(n)$ together with a substitution, which is a $\mathbb{S}$-module morphism, $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$, and the unit map, a morphism of $\mathbb{S}$-modules $\eta : I \to \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the associativity and unitarity axioms (axioms for monoids) with $I = (0, \mathbb{K} \cdot \mathrm{id}, 0, \dots, 0)$. We will restrict to operads with $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}(1) = \mathbb{K} \cdot \mathrm{id}$. An equivalent definition, known as the partial definition of an operad, is given by the partial composition of two operations defined by substitution : $\circ_i : \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes \mathcal{P}(m) \to \mathcal{P}(n + m - 1) : \mu \otimes \nu \mapsto \mu \circ_i \nu := \mu(\mathrm{id}, \cdots, \mathrm{id}, \underbrace{\nu}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}}, \mathrm{id}, \cdots \mathrm{id})$: an operad is then a collection of

$\mathbb{S}_n$-modules $\mathcal{P}(n)$ together with partial compositions compatible with the symmetric group action, and satisfying the associativity of partial compositions depending on the relative positions of the two graftings (sequential composition (1) and parallel composition (2)) and compatibility with the identity operation (3) that is to say:

$$(1) \qquad (\lambda \circ_i \mu) \circ_{i-1+j} \nu = \lambda \circ_i (\mu \circ_j \nu) \qquad \forall 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

$$(2) \qquad (\lambda \circ_i \mu) \circ_{k-1+m} \nu = (\lambda \circ_k \nu) \circ_i \mu \qquad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k \leq l$$

$$(3) \qquad \mathrm{id} \circ_1 \nu = \nu \ , \ \mu \circ_i \mathrm{id} = \mu$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(l),\ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(m),\ \nu \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and the identity element $\mathrm{id} \in \mathcal{P}(1)$. For more details see for example [LV12].

An operad $\mathcal{P}$ can be endowed with a natural graded pre-Lie algebra structure, the grading being given by arity minus one. There are several versions of this construction leading to four different pre-Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &:= \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}(n), \\ \mathcal{P}^+ &:= \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{P}(n), \\ \underline{\mathcal{P}} &:= \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}(n)_{S_n}, \\ \underline{\mathcal{P}}^+ &:= \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{P}(n)_{S_n}, \end{aligned}$$

The first one is mentioned in [LV12, Proposition 5.2.20], the third one is due to Kapranov and Manin [KM01] (see also [Cha02]), the second and fourth have been proposed in [FM15]. The pre-Lie product is defined as follows:

$$\forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(n), \nu \in \mathcal{P}(m),\ \mu \triangleleft \nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu \circ_i \nu.$$

The pre-Lie relation reads as such: the composition of two operations on different entries of a third operation does not depend on the order in which the partial compositions are performed, by virtue of the parallel axioms of operads. The Lie algebra underlying $\mathcal{P}^+$ is pro-unipotent. This obviously defines a pre-Lie product on the coinvariants, leading to the third and fourth variants. The Lie algebra underlying $\underline{\mathcal{P}}^+$ is of course pro-unipotent as well. The element $\mathrm{id} \in \mathcal{P}_1$ verifies:

$$\mathrm{id} \triangleleft \mu = \mu, \qquad \mu \triangleleft \mathrm{id} = n\mu$$

for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(n)$.

The free operad on some generating operations can be understood combinatorially as the vector space spanned by planar reduced rooted trees with

internal nodes decorated with the generating operations, endowed with the following partial operations : for two trees t, s, the partial composition $t \circ_i s$ is the plugging of the tree s into the i^{th} leaf of t, or equivalently substitute the i^{th} leaf of t by s. In this setting the insertion element $t \triangleleft (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ for operations $t, s_1, \dots, s_n$, viewed as trees, is defined as the plugging of the trees s_i's on t, no s_i's being plugged on to each other. This property is the key element of the proof of the non-freeness of operads.

3. Operads are not free pre-Lie algebras

In [MS11] the third author and A. Saïdi have proved that the pre-Lie operad is not free as a pre-Lie algebra. This is part of a more general phenomenon:

3.1. **Theorem.** *Operads are not free as pre-Lie algebras.*

3.2. **Lemma.** *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an operad endowed with its pre-Lie algebra structure $\triangleleft$. For any operation μ of arity n, and any $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n+1}$ the $(n+1)^{th}$-insertion pre-Lie element vanishes : $\mu \triangleleft (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n+1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Direct computation of the associator in the operad settings using the sequential and parallel composition axioms is easier to make explicit with the use of the operations $\circ_{i,j} : \mathcal{P}(l) \otimes \mathcal{P}(m_1) \otimes \mathcal{P}(m_2) \to \mathcal{P}(l + m_1 + m_2 - 2)$ defined by:

$$\mu \circ_{i,j} (\nu_1, \nu_2) := \mu(\mathrm{id}, \dots, \mathrm{id}, \underbrace{\nu_1}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}}, \mathrm{id}, \dots, \mathrm{id}, \underbrace{\nu_2}_{j^{\text{th}} \text{ entry}}, \mathrm{id}, \dots, \mathrm{id}).$$

We then get:

$$\mu \triangleleft (\nu_1, \nu_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{l} \mu \circ_{i,j} (\nu_1, \nu_2).$$

With similar notations one gets the n^{th} pre-Lie insertion element for an operation μ of arity l:

$$\mu \triangleleft (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) = \sum_{\{m_1, \dots, m_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, l\}}^{l} \mu \circ_{m_1, \dots, m_n} (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$$

where the m_j's are all distinct. The n^{th} pre-Lie insertion element for an operation μ of arity $l < n$ is therefore 0. □

Proof of the theorem. Any operad $\mathcal{P}$ can be presented as a quotient of the free operad on some generating operations $\mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_{k_1}^2, \mu_1^3, \dots, \mu_{k_2}^3, \dots$, where μ_j^i is an operation of arity i. Then for every generating operation μ_j^i and for any $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{i+1}$ operations of $\mathcal{P}$, the pre-Lie insertion element $\mu_j^i \triangleleft (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{i+1})$ vanishes. This provides a relation which is not pre-Lie. □



FIGURE 2.

3.3. **Remark.** In $\mathcal{P}$, the first vanishing insertion element is given by

$$\mathrm{id} \triangleleft (\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}) = 0.$$

This relation already proves that the pre-Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}$ cannot be free (and of course $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ neither). In the pro-unipotent version $\mathcal{P}^+$, the simplest insertion relations are given by

$$\mu \triangleleft (\mu, \mu, \mu) = 0$$

with μ any generator of arity two, when such a generator exists in $\mathcal{P}$.

3.4. **Remark.** The relations provided by the vanishing of insertion elements are not the only ones appearing: others come from the associativity axioms. For example let us consider the free operad on one generator, namely $\mathrm{Mag}_2 = \mathcal{F}(\smile)$. Computing the map from Mag_2 to $(\mathrm{PreLie}(V)/_R, \curvearrowleft)$ in low arities, one gets that $\smile$ will be sent on roots labelled by $\bullet^{\smile}$. Then $V \supset \{\bullet^{\smile}, \bullet^{\smile\smile}, \bullet^{\smile\smile\smile}, \bullet^{\smile\smile\smile}, \bullet^{\smile\smile} \ldots\}$. The following relation

$$6 \smile \triangleleft \big((\smile \triangleleft (\smile, \smile)), (\smile \triangleleft (\smile, \smile))\big) = (\smile \triangleleft (\smile, \smile)) \triangleleft (\smile, \smile, \smile, \smile)$$

comes from the associativity axioms. But it does not come from the vanishing induced by the insertion elements and is not a pre-Lie relation.

Finally, as the operads pre-Lie and NAP share the same underlying $\mathbb{S}$-module, on the free Pre-Lie algebra over a vector space, the pre-Lie product of two elements $x \triangleleft y$ can be viewed as a polynomial of elements obtained with the NAP-product $\blacktriangleleft$. To have a better understanding of the operad Mag_2 as a pre-Lie algebra, it is natural to try to perform an analogue of Grobner basis algorithm by defining leading power product $LPP(x)$ for every $x \in \mathrm{Mag}_2$ which is NAP-multiplicative with respect to the pre-Lie product of elements : $\forall x, y \in \mathrm{Mag}_2$ the leading power product of $x \triangleleft y$ satisfies $LPP(x \triangleleft y) = LPP(x) \blacktriangleleft LPP(y)$ where $\blacktriangleleft$ is a NAP product on Mag_2 see for example [DL02].

It can be shown by direct inspection, that there exists no such NAP algebra structure on Mag_2 endowed with the above pre-Lie structure. This fails with trees with 5 leaves, taking into account the constraints from trees with less leaves, the NAP relations and the pre-Lie relations.

REFERENCES

[Cha02] F. Chapoton. Rooted trees and exponential-like series. arXiv:math/0209104, 2002.

[CL01] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet. Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (8):395–408, 2001.

[DL02] A. Dhzumadil'daev and C. Löfwall. Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free novikov algebras and identities. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 4(2):165–190, 2002.

[FM15] A. Frabetti and D. Manchon. Five interpretations of the Faà di Bruno formula. In F. Fauvet K. Ebrahimi Fard, editor, *Faà di Bruno Hopf algebras, Dyson-Schwinger equations, and Lie-Butcher series*, volume 21 of *IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics*, pages 91–147. Europ. Math. Soc., 2015.

[KM01] M. Kapranov and Y. Manin. Modules and Morita theorem for operads. *American J. Math.*, 123(5):811–838, 2001.

[LV12] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[MS11] D. Manchon and A. Saïdi. Lois pré-Lie en interaction. *Comm. Algebra*, 39(10):3662–3680, 2011.

EB, BDO: Université Paul Sabatier, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9 France

E-mail address: burgunder@math.univ-toulouse.fr

E-mail address: berenice.delcroix@math.univ-toulouse.fr

DM: Laboratoire de Mathématiques Blaise Pascal, Université Clermont-Auvergne, 3 place Vasarély, CS 60026, F63178 Aubière, France

E-mail address: manchon@math.univ-bpclermont.fr